

**Amendments to the Claims:**

1. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network comprising the steps of:  
defining one or more alternatives via a computer for at least one of the bids;  
defining one or more conditions via a computer among said one or more alternatives; and  
determining one or more combinations of said alternatives via a computer that satisfy said one or more conditions, comprising the steps of:  
representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions with at least one satisfiability problem, comprising the steps of:  
defining at least one first variable  $B_{ij}$  representing at least one of said one or more alternatives wherein said variable  $B_{ij}$  corresponds to a  $j$ th one of said alternatives in an  $i$ th one of the bids;  
and  
determining at least one solution to said at least one satisfiability problem.
2. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 1 further comprising the step of:  
defining at least one first utility via a computer for representing a ~~vale~~ value of at least one of said combinations.
3. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 1 further comprising the step of:  
defining one or more second utilities via a computer for representing a value of said one or more alternatives.
4. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 3 wherein said

first utility of said at least one combination is defined as a sum of said one or more second utilities of those of said one or more alternatives that are in said at least one combination.

5. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 4 wherein said sum ~~es~~ of said one or more second utilities is a weighed sum.

6. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 2 further comprising the step of:

determining at least one of said combinations via a computer that is optimal with respect to said at least one first utility.

7 - 8. (canceled)

9. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 8 1 wherein said representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions step comprises the step of:

generating a first conjunction of one or more first disjunctive clauses of said at least one first variable  $B_{ij}$ .

10. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 9 wherein said one or more first disjunctive clauses are defined as  $k(k-1)/2$  disjunctive clauses:  $\wedge \{ \neg B_{ig} \vee \neg B_{ih}, \text{ where } g \in 1..k, h \in 1..k \text{ and } g < h \}$ .

11. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 9 wherein said

representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions step comprises the step of:

defining at least one second variable  $D_{igjh}$  representing at least one potential deal between two or more of the bids wherein said second variable  $D_{igjh}$  corresponds to said potential deal between a  $g$ th alternatives in an  $i$ th one of said bids and a  $h$ th one of said alternatives in a  $j$ th one of said bids.

12. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 11 wherein said representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions step comprises the step of:

generating a second conjunction of one or more second disjunctive clauses of said at least one second variable.

13. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 12 wherein said one or more second disjunction clauses are defined as  $k(k-1)/2$  disjunctive clauses:  $\bigwedge \{ \neg D_g \vee \neg D_h, \text{ where } g \in 1..k, h \in 1..k \text{ and } g < h \}$ .

14. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 12 wherein said representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions step comprises the step of:

generating one or more third disjunctive clauses to represent said one or more conditions and generating a third conjunction of said one or more third disjunctive clauses.

15. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 14 wherein said

representing said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions step comprises the step of:

generating an overall conjunction of said first conjunction, said second conjunction and said third conjunction.

16. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 11 wherein said at least one satisfiability problem is a MAX-SAT problem.

17. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 6 further comprising the step of:

executing at least one of the matches via a computer for one or more of the bids that are identified by said at least one optimal combination.

18. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 17 further comprising the step of:

distributing said at least one first utility via a computer among at least one of the participants who submitted said one or more of the bids of said at least one optimal combination.

19. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 18 wherein said distributing said at least one first utility step comprises the step of:

allocating said at least one first utility evenly among the participants over time to achieve fairness.

20. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 18 wherein said distributing said at least one first utility step comprises the step of:

allocating said at least one first utility evenly among the participants for each of said executed matches.

21. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 1 wherein said bids comprise:

one or more requests from one or more products and/or services and  
one or more responses identifying one or more capabilities of one or more products and/or services.

22. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 1 further comprising the step of:

defining one or more attributes via a computer for at least one of said bids.

23. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 22 wherein said determining one or more combinations of said alternatives step further comprises the step of:

identifying at least two of said alternative via a computer that have compatible ones of said attributes; and

assigning said identified alternatives via a computer to said one or more combinations.

24. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 22 wherein said attributes comprise one or more members of the set consisting of a visibility variable, an owner,

a validity period, a negotiation timeout, a confirmation indicator, a manual indicator, a pre-execution explosion indicator, an execution explosion indicator.

25. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 22 wherein said attributes comprise one or more specifications.

26. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 22 wherein said one or more specifications comprise one or more members of the set consisting of stock keeping unit (SKU), a quantity, a delivery time window, a quality guarantee, a quality requirement, a fulfillment guarantee, a fulfillment penalty, a contract identifies, a price and a supplier restriction.

27. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 1 wherein said one or more conditions comprise one or more links between one or more groups of said alternative identifying relations between said alternatives within said group.

28. (currently amended) A method for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants in an electronic network as in claim 27 wherein said relations comprise at least one compatibility relation.

29. (currently amended) Computer executable software code stored on a computer readable medium, the code for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants, the code comprising:

- code to receive one or more alternatives for at least one of the bids;
- code to receive one or more conditions among said one or more alternatives; and

code to determine one or more combinations of said alternatives that satisfy said one or more conditions, comprising:

code to represent said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions with at least one satisfiability problem, comprising:

code to define at least one first variable  $B_{ij}$  representing at least one of said one or more alternatives wherein said variable  $B_{ij}$  corresponds to a  $j$ th one of said alternatives in an  $i$ th one the bids;

and

code to determine at least one solution to said at least one satisfiability problem.

30. (canceled)

31. (currently amended) A programmed computer system for determining one or more matches among one or more bids submitted by one or more participants comprising at least one memory having at least one region storing computer executable program code and at least one processor for executing the program code stored in said memory, wherein the program code includes

code to receive one or more alternatives for at least one of the bids;

code to receive one or more conditions among said one or more alternatives; and

code to determine one or more combinations of said alternatives that satisfy said one or more conditions, comprising:

code to represent said one or more alternatives and/or said one or more conditions with at least one satisfiability problem, comprising:

code to define at least one first variable  $B_{ij}$  representing at least one of said one or more alternatives wherein said variable  $B_{ij}$  corresponds to a  $j$ th one of said alternatives in an  $i$ th one the bids;

and

code to determine at least one solution to said at least one satisfiability problem.

r

Appl. No.: 09/768,817  
Amendment Dated 02/13/2006  
Reply to Office Action of August 11, 2005

32. (canceled)